

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,466	10/21/2005	Cosimo Raone	264589US6PCT	2231	
22850 OBLON, SPIV	22850 7590 08/22/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE ST	TREET		KERNS, KEVIN P		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1725		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/22/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/521,466	RAONE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Kevin P. Kerns	1725			
The MAIL	ING DATE of this communication app					
, ,	Period for Reply					
WHICHEVER IS  - Extensions of time n after SIX (6) MONTH  - If NO period for reply  - Failure to reply withi Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 HS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsiv	ve to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>	<u>ne 2007</u> .				
•==	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Clai	ms					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u>	4) Claim(s) 2 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u>						
· —	is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
<b>Application Papers</b>	<b>;</b>					
9)☐ The specifi	ication is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawir	ng(s) filed on <u>18 January 2005</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant n	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/521,466

Art Unit: 1725

# **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carney (US 3,160,009) in view of Mislan (US 3,303,537), and further in view of Schonath et al (US 6,491,426).

Carney discloses a method to detect temperatures with molding processes having temperature detecting equipment (23) and a shutter to open for the detecting equipment to measure the temperature distribution inside the furnace (col. 2, lines 35-70). Carney fails to teach use of a radiation sensor.

Art Unit: 1725

However, Mislan discloses a radiation sensor to measure inside the die casting machine, since radiation is best to measure heat.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicants' invention was made to have a radiation sensor as taught by Mislan, in Carney, in order to measure the heat in the casting furnace.

Both Carney and Mislan fail to teach introducing air into the structure.

However, Schonath et al. disclose that a heat sink is one type of heat-absorbing apparatus. For example, heat sinks are used to cool CPU's in computers including general purpose desktop PC's. A heat sink is a device, typically monolithic, that conducts and dissipates heat, and is typically made of metal (e.g. aluminum, copper etc.), or in some applications is made of other materials (e.g. ceramic or plastic). The heat typically travels from the heat-generating device to the heat sink primarily through conduction, then travels through the heat sink, typically also via conduction. Heat sinks typically have a large surface area to dissipate heat (e.g. large relative to the surface area of the heat-producing device), generally through convection to surrounding air. The high surface area is accomplished with fins, holes, hills/valleys, or other geometric features. The air may be blown with a fan to increase the Nusselt number and improve cooling, or the system may rely on natural convection (column 3, lines 29-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicants' invention was made to have air blown to the structure with internal measuring components, as taught by Schonath et al, in the combined teachings of

Art Unit: 1725

Carney and Mislan, in order to cool the measuring system (Schonath et al.; column 3, lines 29-45).

### Response to Arguments

- 4. The examiner acknowledges the applicants' amendment received by the USPTO on June 6, 2007. Claim 2 remains under consideration in the application.
- 5. Applicants' arguments with respect to claim 2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/521,466 Page 5

Art Unit: 1725

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kevin P. Kerns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jonathan Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin P. Kerns Kerin Kenna 8/16/07.
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1725

kpk August 16, 2007